

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

COREY D. CHRISTENSEN,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROCHELLE T. NGUYEN, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:20-cv-01770-APG-DJA

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

The Court previously granted Plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma pauperis* and screened the complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. (ECF No. 3). In doing so, the Court found that Plaintiff's complaint was deficient. (*Id.*). As a result, the Court dismissed Plaintiff's complaint on October 19, 2020 and granted Plaintiff leave to amend the complaint, requiring that an amended complaint be filed by November 16, 2020, which has since passed. (*Id.*). The Court stated, "Failure to comply with this Order may result in the Court recommending that this action be dismissed." (*Id.* at 8). To date, the Court has not received an amended complaint or any request to extend the deadline for filing one.


Accordingly, the undersigned **RECOMMENDS** that this case be **DISMISSED** without prejudice.

NOTICE

Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2 any objection to this Report and Recommendation must be in writing and filed with the Clerk of the Court within (14) days after service of this Notice. The Supreme Court has held that the courts of appeal may determine that an appeal has been waived due to the failure to file objections within the specified time. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 142 (1985), *reh'g denied*, 474 U.S. 1111 (1986). The Ninth Circuit has also held that (1) failure to file objections within the specified time and (2) failure to properly address and brief the objectionable

1 issues waives the right to appeal the District Court's order and/or appeal factual issues from the
2 order of the District Court. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153, 1157 (9th Cir. 1991); *Britt v. Simi*
3 *Valley United Sch. Dist.*, 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983).

4 DATED: December 3, 2020

5
6
7 
8 DANIEL J. ALBREGTS
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28